1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RAJ CHRISTOPHER GUPTA, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-00-1095 GEB GGH P 12 VS. 13 C.A. TERHUNE, et al., 14 Defendants. **ORDER** 15 16 On April 28, 2005, the court recommended that this action be dismissed for 17 plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. On May 4, 2005, plaintiff 18 filed an opposition to defendants' summary judgment motion filed March 10, 2005. Because 19 plaintiff has demonstrated an interest in prosecuting this action, the findings and 20 recommendations are vacated. Plaintiff is cautioned that it is his duty to file a notice of change 21 of address when his address changes. 22 The record of this case demonstrates a repeated failure by both parties to comply 23 with deadlines. If either party again fails to meet a court ordered deadline, sanctions will be 24 issued. 25 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 26 1. The April 28, 2005, findings and recommendations are vacated;

## 

1	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to amend court records to reflect plaintiff's
2	new address contained in his May 4, 2005, pleading;
3	3. Defendants' summary judgment motion filed March 10, 2005, is submitted.
4	DATED: 5/16/05
5	
6	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
7	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	GGH:kj gup1095.sub
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	